

韓国経済に關し米國 I C A 代表と會談の件

35  
9  
22

アメリカ局長 経済局長 アジア局長

次長 次長 長 宇山参事官

北米課長 アジア課長 北東アジア課長

韓国経済に関し米国ICA代表と  
合談の件

25. 9. 22  
北東アジア課

1. 米回国務省 ICA Far Eastern Evaluation  
Team (ウェアリング元駐日大使館参事官が団長)

は、本月末より約6週間に亘り、韓国に滞在

韓国経済の現状及びICA後助の実態につき

視察を行うと、本22日アジア局宇山参事

官等は同Teamの来訪を求め、約1時間

に亘り合談した(先立ウェアリング団長、サイバ)



外務省

2669

准将軍その他国員2名、駐日大使館トシカイ参  
事官陪席、日本側 岸山参事官以下アパア局  
経済局事務官5名出席)

2. 会談において、岸山参事官より、日本としては  
日韓関係正常化の近いことを期待し、長期  
に亘る日韓関係の在り方について検討して  
いると、韓国経済及び日韓経済関係  
のあるべき姿<sup>向き</sup>につき、米側視察団の意見を~~伺~~  
におわが方が関心ある事項につ  
とし、別添~~の如き~~質問書<sup>を</sup>提出した。  
質問の趣旨を敷衍説明した。

3. これに対し、ウェアリング団長は、米側として  
日韓関係の正常化が一日も早く実現することを強く  
望んでおり、日本側の立場及び提出された質問事

項の趣旨を了とし、10月末 韓国経済視察の後、

日本に立ち寄る際、再び 懇談の機会を持ち、真

同事項に関して、われわれの <sup>意見</sup> ~~印象~~ を与える限

りお伝えしたいと述べた。

なお ウエアリングは、別れ際 宇山参事官

に対し 実は 米側でも 韓国経済問題処理に

関して 日米韓三国委員会のようなものを作るに

いう <sup>法有好もの</sup> 考えがあると、~~述べていると、その旨~~  
もらっていた。

# 秘密指定解除

公文書監理室

CONFIDENTIAL

September 22, 1960

## QUESTIONNAIRE

I. In the South Korean economy there are such difficulties as limited natural resources, scarcity of technical and managerial skills and short supply of indigenous capital owing to low national income level. What is in the opinion of the United States the outlook regarding the achievement of the self-supporting economy of the Republic of Korea?

II. With regard to the U.S. aid to the Republic of Korea, on what scale and how long would it be continued?

III. With regard to the achievement of viable economy of the Republic of Korea, what would be the U.S. views on the following questions:

- a. How many years would it take to achieve the goal?
- b. What type of economic structure would the U.S. envisage for the South Korean economy when it becomes self-supporting?
- c. What would be the level of the then Korean national income?
- d. What then would be the total Korean population and its annual rate of growth?

- e. How would be the equilibrium of the balance of international payments maintained? On what scale?
- f. How would be the stability of public finance maintained? On what scale?

IV. In the light of the recent development in South Korea, would the United States consider the increase of its economic assistance to the Republic of Korea?

V. The maintenance of as large as 600,000 military forces is said to be a heavy burden on the Korean Government as well as on its national economy. Would there be any plans such as the curtailment of the number of military personnel by modernizing military equipment in order to minimize the burden on the South Korean economy? What would be the prospect for the employment opportunities for the demobilized military personnel?

VI. The achievement of self-supporting Korean economy seems to depend largely upon enthusiasm and endeavours on the part of the Korean Government and the people. How would you evaluate these factors before and after your visit to Korea?

VII. While the mainstay of Korean economy is its agriculture, to what extent have agricultural promotional measures including land reform been carried out in Korea? What type of marketing system for rice is operating in Korea? How is the rice price being determined?

VIII.

VIII. What type of promotional measures is being taken for the development of mining and manufacturing industries which is important in terms of providing employment opportunities for the unemployed.

~~LX. While the official rate of exchange for Korean Hwan is fixed at 650 Hwan per dollar, it is reported the Korean Government is actually using a plural exchange rate system for various purposes. In this connection, does the United States think the present exchange rate for Hwan is equitable and conducive to the reconstruction of the Korean economy?~~

~~IX. Since economic stability is a prerequisite for the political stability, Japan is deeply concerned with the prospect of economic ~~stability~~ <sup>stabilization in</sup> of Korea. In this connection, Japan is studying the possibility of rendering appropriate economic cooperation for Korea upon the normalization of relations between the two countries. What form of our economic assistance in what sector of Korean economy would the United States consider most conducive to the achievement of self-supporting and developing economy in Korea?~~